arXiv:1607.00581v1 [math.AP] 3 Jul 2016

MULTIPLICITY OF STRONG SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITHOUT THE AMBROSETTI-RABINOWITZ CONDITION IN $\mathbb{R}^N$*

LI YIN, JINGHUA YAO†, QIHU ZHANG, AND CHUNSHAN ZHAO

Abstract. We investigate the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the following $p(x)$-Laplacian problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$ via critical point theory

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle_{p(x)}\, u + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)-2}\, u = f(x,u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

We propose a new set of growth conditions which matches the variable exponent nature of the problem. Under this new set of assumptions, we manage to verify the Cerami compactness condition. Therefore, we succeed in proving the existence of multiple solutions to the above problem without the well-known Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz type growth condition. Meanwhile, we could also characterize the pointwise asymptotic behaviors of these solutions. In our main argument, the idea of localization, decomposition of the domain, regularity of weak solutions and comparison principle are crucial ingredients among others.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence and multiplicity of strong solutions to a class of variable exponent problems in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} -\triangle_{p(x)}\, u + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)-2}\, u = f(x,u), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \tag{P}$$

where $\Delta_{p(x)}$ is the $p(x)$-Laplace operator, also called $p(x)$-Laplacian, and its action on the unknown u is defined by $\Delta_{p(x)}u = \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$, $V(x)$ is a nonlinear potential function, and $f = f(x,u)$ is the nonlinear term.

Before moving forward, let us first briefly recall some background related to the problem above. The study of differential equations and the related variational problems with variable exponent has been a new and interesting topic. From an application point of view, this study has its backgrounds in such hot topics as image processing, nonlinear electrorheological fluids and elastic mechanics. We refer the readers to [1], [5], [26],

Date: July 5, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20; 35J25; 35J60.

Key words and phrases. $p(x)$-Laplacian, Dirichlet problem, Cerami Condition, Variable exponent space, Critical point, Regularity.

† Corresponding author.

*This research is partly supported by the key projects in Science and Technology Research of the Henan Education Department (14A110011).

[31], [42] and the references therein for more details. For a state of the art summary of the applications, see the monographs [6] and [27]. From a pure mathematical point of view, the study of differential equations involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian can be regarded as a natural further development of that involving p-Laplacian where $p \in (1, +\infty)$ is a constant. Compared with the classical Laplacian Δ ($p(\cdot) \equiv 2$) and the general p-Laplacian Δ_p, the $p(x)$-Laplacian is both nonlinear and non-homogeneous. Therefore, the study of differential equations involving $p(x)$-Laplacian is usually more involved due to the nonlinear and non-homogeneous nature of $p(x)$-Laplacian. Usually new methods and techniques are needed to study such elliptic equations involving the non-standard growth, since the commonly known methods and techniques to study elliptic equations involving standard growth may fail. In our current investigation, we focus on a class of elliptic equations in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$ with the operator $-\Delta_{p(x)} \cdot + V(x)|\cdot|^{p(x)-2}\cdot$ in which a potential term $V(x)$ is also involved. Here we adopt the notion *potential term* for $V(x)$ from the classical Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{H} := -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V(x)$ where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, m mass of the particle, and $V(x)$ the potential (see [28], [30]). Later, we will see that this point of view also motivated our assumptions on $V(x)$ for our problem here. During the study of variational problems with variable exponent growth, the regularity properties of solutions to the related differential equations are also involved when one attempts to study finer properties of these solutions, see in particular *Step 2* of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the current study. There are a large number of related research works. We refer the readers to [3], [10], [11], [12], [15], [18], [23], [25], [33], [37], [39] and the references therein for further details.

In this paper, our main goals are to study the existence and multiplicity of solutions to the problem (P) in the whole space setting $\mathbb{R}^N$ (unbounded in particular) without the classical Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, and to describe the pointwise asymptotic behavior of these solutions. For these purposes, we propose a new set of growth conditions under which we are able to check the Cerami compactness condition. A main motivation of our current study is the observation that the famous Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition sometimes can be very restrictive and excludes many interesting nonlinearities. Actually, in the constant exponent case $p(\cdot) \equiv p$, there were a series of papers dealing with problems without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type growth condition (see [17], [20], [21], [22], [24], [32]). However, due to the differences between the p-Laplacian and $p(x)$-Laplacian mentioned above, often, it is very difficult to judge whether or not results about p-Laplacian can be generalized to $p(x)$-Laplacian, and even if the generalization can be done, it is still challenging to figure out the suitable or right forms in which the results should be. To the best of our knowledge, there were rare results on variable exponent problem without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type growth condition (see [2], [16], [35], [40]). In an interesting earlier effort [35], the author considered the existence of solutions of variable exponent differential equations on a bounded domain, intending to weaken the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz growth condition. Unfortunately, the related assumption in [35] was actually stronger than the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. In a recent advancement [2], the authors considered the similar problem as (P) under a couple of interesting assumptions as follows: (1^0) There exists a constant $\theta \geqslant 1$, such that $\theta\mathcal{F}(x,t) \geqslant \mathcal{F}(x,st)$ for any $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in [0,1]$, where $\mathcal{F}(x,t) = f(x,t)t - p^+F(x,t)$; (2^0) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\lim\limits_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{F(x,t)}{|t|^{p^+}} = \infty$. Very

recently in [16], the authors considered the problem (P) in a bounded domain under a condition similar to (2^0). Motivated by the above-mentioned interesting studies and [40], here we study problem (P) in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N$ under growth conditions involving variable growth rates which match much better the variable nature of the problem under investigation.

Notations. In this paper, the notion "$f_1(x) << f_2(x)$" or equivalently "$f_1 << f_2$" means that $ess\inf\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N}\big(f_2(x)-f_1(x)\big) > 0$. We define the Sobolev conjugate exponent $p^*(x)$ of the variable exponent $p(x)$ as follows

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}, & p(x) < N, \\ \infty \qquad\quad , & p(x) \geqslant N. \end{cases}$$

Throughout the paper, we use letters c, c_i, C, C_i, $i = 1, 2, ...$ to denote generic positive constants which may vary from line to line, and we will specify them whenever it is necessary. For a function, say $p = p(x)$ or $f = f(x,t)$, we do not distinguish the expressions p, $p(\cdot)$ and $p(x)$ or f, $f(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $f(x,t)$ when no ambiguity arises. For sequences, we shall use "$\rightharpoonup$" to represent weak convergence while "$\to$" strong convergence in suitable function spaces.

To state our main results clearly and make the exposition concrete, we first collect our assumptions on the potential function $V(x)$, the variable exponent $p(x)$ and the nonlinearity f as follows:

(V) $V \in L^\infty_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $ess\inf\limits_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = V_0 > 0$ and $V(x) \to +\infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

(p) $1 << p(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|\nabla p| \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(H_0) $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition and

$$|f(x,t)| \leqslant C(|t|^{p(x)-1} + |t|^{\alpha(x)-1}), \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p(x) << \alpha(x) << p^*(x)$.

(H_1) There exist constants $M, C_1, C_2 > 0$ and a function $a >> p$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$C_1\,|t|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|t|)]^{a(x)-1} \leqslant C_2\frac{tf(x,t)}{\ln(e+|t|)} \leqslant tf(x,t) - p(x)F(x,t), \forall\, |t| \geqslant M, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where and throughout the paper, $F(x,t) := \int_0^t f(x,s)\,ds$.

(H_2) $f(x,t) = o(|t|^{p(x)-1})$ uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, as $t \to 0$.

(H_3) $f(x,-t) = -f(x,t)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Before stating our main results and proceeding further, we would like to briefly comment on some of the above assumptions. First, we point out that the assumption (V) means that the potential $V(x)$ is the standard potential well of infinite depth in classical

quantum theory. From a mathematical point view, this natural potential well assumption yields exactly the needed compactness in our problem in the unbounded setting, see in particular Proposition (2.5)-ii), Lemma 3.3, and Lemma 3.4. The assumption (H_0) states that the nonlinearity f here has a subcritical (in the sense of Sobolev embedding) growth rate in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ while the assumptions (H_1) and (H_2) describe the far and near field behaviors of the nonlinearity f with respect to its second argument respectively. It is worthing noting that the rates involved in the assumptions $(H_0)-(H_2)$ are all variable rates which match the variable nature of our problem under study.

Now we are in a position to state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that the conditions (V), (p), (H_0)-(H_2) hold, then the problem (P) has two nontrivial strong solutions u_1 and u_2 which satisfy $u_1 > 0 > u_2$, $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} u_i(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |\nabla u_i(x)| = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that the conditions (V), (p), (H_0), (H_1) and (H_3) hold, then there are infinitely many pairs of strong solutions $\{\pm u_i\}$ to the problem (P) which satisfy $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} u_i(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |\nabla u_i(x)| = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.*

For the rigorous definition of *strong solutions* to problem (P), see Definition 3.1. We postpone the rigorous introduction of definitions of solutions to the problem (P) until Definition 3.1, as it is only possible and more natural after we introduce the necessary functional-analytic framework. Meanwhile. we have the following remark.

Remark 1.3. (i) Let $f(x,t) = |t|^{p(x)-2}t[\ln(1+|t|)]^{a(x)}$, then we can see f satisfies the condition $(H_0)-(H_3)$, but it does not satisfy the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. (ii) We do not need any monotone assumption on the nonlinearity f in our main results.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall for our study the minimal functional-analytic preparation related to the variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Some useful lemmas are also included in this section. In Section 3, we give the proofs of the above main results.

2. Preliminaries

In order to discuss problem (P) rigorously, we recall here some necessary results on the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and Sobolev space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For more systematic information, please see the monographs [6], [27], papers [7], [11], [13], [19], [29], [34] and the references therein. In this section, we shall validate the assumptions (V) and (p).

We denote $C_+(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{h \in C(\mathbb{R}^N) \,\big|\, h(x) >> 1\}$. For $h \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we define

$$h^+ = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x), \quad h^- = \inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(x).$$

Now we introduce the variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as follows:

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \mid u \text{ is a measurabled real-value function, } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)}\, dx < \infty \right\}.$$

On $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we introduce the Luxemberg norm by

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \,\middle|\, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leqslant 1 \right\}.$$

Then $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N), |\cdot|_{p(\cdot)})$ becomes a Banach space and it is the so-called variable exponent Lebesgue space.

For the variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, we have the following version of Hölder's inequality and embedding.

Proposition 2.1. *(see* [6], [7], [11]*). i) The space $(L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N), |\cdot|_{p(\cdot)})$ is a separable, uniform convex Banach space, and its conjugate space is $L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\frac{1}{q(x)} + \frac{1}{p(x)} \equiv 1$. For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $v \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv dx \right| \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |u|_{p(\cdot)} \, |v|_{q(\cdot)}.$$

ii) If p_1, $p_2 \in C_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, $p_1(x) \leqslant p_2(x)$ for any $x \in \Omega$, then $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, and the embedding is continuous.

In the study of nonlinear elliptical variational problems, the property of the Nemytsky operator plays an important role. In the variable exponent Lebesgue spaces framework, we have the following property concerning the Nemytsky operator.

Proposition 2.2. *(see* [11]*). If $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and satisfies*

$$|f(x,s)| \leqslant h(x) + b\,|s|^{p_1(x)/p_2(x)} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where p_1, $p_2 \in C_+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $h \in L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $h(x) \geqslant 0$, $b \geqslant 0$, then the Nemytsky operator from $L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by $(N_f u)(x) = f(x, u(x))$ is a continuous and bounded operator.

Compared with the constant exponent spaces, one of the distinct features of variable exponent spaces is that there is no strict equality relation between the module and the norm of a function in the variable exponent spaces. Related to this feature, we have the following two propositions.

Proposition 2.3. *(see* [11]*). If we denote*

$$\rho(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p(x)}\, dx, \ \forall u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

then there exists a $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *such that* $|u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p(\xi)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p(x)}\, dx$ *and*

i) $|u|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 (= 1; > 1) \Longleftrightarrow \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1)$;

ii) $|u|_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \Longrightarrow |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \leqslant \rho(u) \leqslant |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}$; $|u|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \Longrightarrow |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \geqslant \rho(u) \geqslant |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}$;

iii) $|u|_{p(\cdot)} \to 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho(u) \to 0$; $|u|_{p(\cdot)} \to \infty \Longleftrightarrow \rho(u) \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.4. *(see* [11]*). If* u, $u_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, *then the following statements are equivalent to each other.*

1) $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} |u_k - u|_{p(\cdot)} = 0$;

2) $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \rho(u_k - u) = 0$;

3) $u_k \to u$ *in measure in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \rho(u_k) = \rho(u)$.

Now we introduce the variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined through

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^{p(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right) \middle| \nabla u \in \left(L^{p(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)\right)^N \right\},$$

equipped with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = |u|_{p(\cdot)} + |\nabla u|_{p(\cdot)}, \forall u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right).$$

Adapted to our specific problem here, we introduce the working space X by

$$X = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u(x)|^{p(x)}\, dx < \infty \right. \right\},$$

and it can be equipped with the norm

$$\|u\| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left| \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} + V(x) \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leqslant 1 \right. \right\}.$$

Obviously, X is a closed linear subspace of $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Concerning the space X, we also have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *(see* [2] *Lemma 2.6). i)* X *is a separable reflexive Banach spaces;*

ii) If $q \in C_+\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *and* $p(x) \leqslant q(x) << p^*(x)$ *for any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, *then the embedding from* X *to* $L^{q(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ *is compact and continuous.*

Next we give some results related to the $p(x)$-Laplacian $\triangle_{p(x)}$. Consider the following functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)}) dx,\ u \in X.$$

Obviously (see [4]), $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and the operator $-\Delta_{p(x)} \cdot + V(x)|\cdot|^{p(x)-2}\cdot$ is the derivative operator of J in the weak sense. We denote $L := J' : X \to X^*$, then

$$(L(u), v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + V(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} uv) dx, \ \forall u, v \in X.$$

On the properties of the derivative operator L, we have the following proposition which will be useful in Sections 3.

Proposition 2.6. *(see* [11], [18]*). i) $L : X \to X^*$ is a continuous, bounded and strictly monotone operator;*

ii) L is a mapping of type (S_+), i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\overline{\lim}_{n\to+\infty} (L(u_n)-L(u), u_n-u) \leqslant 0$, then $u_n \to u$ in X;

iii) $L : X \to X^*$ is a homeomorphism.

Now, we denote $B(x_0, \varepsilon, \delta, \theta) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \delta \leqslant |x - x_0| \leqslant \varepsilon, \frac{x-x_0}{|x-x_0|} \cdot \frac{\nabla p(x_0)}{|\nabla p(x_0)|} \geqslant \cos\theta\}$, where $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then, we have the following geometrical proposition on the variable exponent $p(x)$.

Lemma 2.7. *(see* [40]*) If $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy $\nabla p(x_0) \neq 0$, then there exist a positive ε small enough such that*

$$(x - x_0) \cdot \nabla p(x) > 0, \forall x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon, \delta, \theta), \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\max\{p(x) \mid x \in \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)}\} = \max\{p(x) \mid x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon, \theta)\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The proof can be found in [40]. We reproduce it here for completeness and for the readers' convenience.

Since $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for any $x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon, \delta, \theta)$, when ε is small enough, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla p(x) \cdot (x - x_0) &= (\nabla p(x_0) + o(1)) \cdot (x - x_0) \\ &= \nabla p(x_0) \cdot (x - x_0) + o(|x - x_0|) \\ &\geqslant |\nabla p(x_0)| \, |x - x_0| \cos\theta + o(|x - x_0|) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a function and $o(1) \to 0$ uniformly as $|x - x_0| \to 0$.

When ε is small enough, (2.1) is valid. Since $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, there exist a small enough positive ε such that

$$p(x) - p(x_0) = \nabla p(y) \cdot (x - x_0) = (\nabla p(x_0) + o(1)) \cdot (x - x_0),$$

where $y = x_0 + \tau(x - x_0)$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$, $o(1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a function and $o(1) \to 0$ uniformly as $|x - x_0| \to 0$.

Suppose $x \in \overline{B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \backslash B(x_0, \varepsilon, \delta, \theta)$. Denote $x^* = x_0 + \varepsilon \nabla p(x_0) / |\nabla p(x_0)|$.

Suppose $\frac{x-x_0}{|x-x_0|}\cdot\frac{\nabla p(x_0)}{|\nabla p(x_0)|}<\cos\theta$. When ε is small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x)-p(x_0) &= (\nabla p(x_0)+o(1))\cdot(x-x_0)\\ &< |\nabla p(x_0)|\,|x-x_0|\cos\theta+o(\varepsilon)\\ &\leqslant (\nabla p(x_0)+o(1))\cdot\varepsilon\nabla p(x_0)/|\nabla p(x_0)|\\ &= p(x^*)-p(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1)\in\mathbb{R}^N$ is a function and $o(1)\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$.

Suppose $|x-x_0|<\delta$. When ε is small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x)-p(x_0) &= (\nabla p(x_0)+o(1))\cdot(x-x_0)\\ &\leqslant |\nabla p(x_0)|\,|x-x_0|+o(\varepsilon)\\ &< (\nabla p(x_0)+o(1))\cdot\varepsilon\nabla p(x_0)/|\nabla p(x_0)|\\ &= p(x^*)-p(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $o(1)\in\mathbb{R}^N$ is a function and $o(1)\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. Thus, we have

$$\max\{p(x)\mid x\in\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)}\}=\max\{p(x)\mid x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.3) that (2.2) is valid. The proof of Lemma 2.8 is completed. □

Next, we give a lemma which will also be used in Section 3.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that the function $F(x,u)$ satisfies the following far-field growth condition with respect to its second argument u:*

$$C_1|u|^{p(x)}[\ln(e+|u|)]^{a(x)}\leqslant F(x,u),\forall\,|u|\geqslant M,\forall x\in\mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $a(x)>>p(x)$, and $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^N$ with $\nabla p(x_0)\neq 0$. Let

$$h(x)=\begin{cases} 0, & |x-x_0|>\varepsilon\\ \varepsilon-|x-x_0|, & |x-x_0|\leqslant\varepsilon\end{cases},$$

where ε is defined in Lemma 2.7, then there holds

$$\varphi(th)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla th|^{p(x)}+V(x)|th|^{p(x)})dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(x,th)dx\to-\infty\ \text{as}\ t\to+\infty.$$

Proof. We only need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(th):=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla th|^{p(x)}+V(x)|th|^{p(x)})\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}C_1|th|^{p(x)}[\ln(e+|th|)]^{a(x)}dx\\ &\to-\infty\text{ as }t\to+\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for t sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla th|^{p(x)}\,dx\leqslant C_2\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)}|\nabla th|^{p(x)}\,dx,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)|th|^{p(x)}\,dx\leqslant C_2\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)}V(x)|th|^{p(x)}\,dx,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} C_1 |th|^{p(x)} [\ln(e+|th|)]^{a(x)} dx \geqslant \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} C_1 |th|^{p(x)} [\ln(e+|th|)]^{a(x)} dx.$$

Next we shall use spherical coordinates. Denote $r = |x - x_0|$. Since $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows from (2.1) that there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$p(\varepsilon,\omega) - c_2(\varepsilon - r) \leqslant p(r,\omega) \leqslant p(\varepsilon,\omega) - c_1(\varepsilon - r), \forall (r,\omega) \in B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} |\nabla th|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} t^{p(r,\omega)} r^{N-1} dr d\omega \\
&\leqslant \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} t^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_1(\varepsilon-r)} r^{N-1} dr d\omega \\
&\leqslant \varepsilon^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} t^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_1(\varepsilon-r)} dr d\omega \\
&\leqslant \varepsilon^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} \frac{t^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)}}{c_1 \ln t} d\omega. \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} V(x) |th|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} V(r,\omega) |t(\varepsilon - r)|^{p(r,\omega)} r^{N-1} dr d\omega \\
&\leqslant c_3 \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} |t|^{p(r,\omega)} dr d\omega \\
&\leqslant c_3 \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} |t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_1(\varepsilon-r)} dr d\omega \\
&= c_3 \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} d\omega \int_{\delta}^{\varepsilon} |t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_1(\varepsilon-r)} dr \\
&\leqslant c_4 \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} \frac{|t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)}}{\ln t} d\omega. \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $a(\cdot) >> p(\cdot)$, when ε is small enough, there exists a $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$a(x) \geqslant \max\{p(x) + \epsilon_1 \mid x \in B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)\}, \forall x \in B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta).$$

Hence, when t is large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} C_1 |th|^{p(x)} [\ln(e+|th|)]^{a(x)} dx \\
= &\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} C_1 |t(\varepsilon-r)|^{p(r,\omega)} r^{N-1} [\ln(e+|t(\varepsilon-r)|)]^{a(r,\omega)} dr d\omega \\
\geqslant &\ C_1\delta^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} |t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_2(\varepsilon-r)} |\varepsilon-r|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_1(\varepsilon-r)} [\ln(e+|t(\varepsilon-r)|)]^{a(r,\omega)} dr d\omega \\
\geqslant &\ C_1\delta^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} d\omega \int_{\delta}^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{\ln t}} |t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_2(\varepsilon-r)} |\varepsilon-r|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_1(\varepsilon-r)} [\ln(e+|t(\varepsilon-r)|)]^{a(r,\omega)} dr \\
\geqslant &\ C_3\delta^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} (\frac{1}{\ln t})^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)} [\ln(e+\frac{t}{\ln t})]^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)+\epsilon_1} \int_{\delta}^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{\ln t}} |t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-c_2(\varepsilon-r)} dr d\omega \\
\geqslant &\ C_4\delta^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} (\ln t)^{\epsilon_1} \frac{|t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)-\frac{c_2}{\ln t}}}{c_2 \ln t} d\omega \\
\geqslant &\ (\ln t)^{\epsilon_1} C_5\delta^{N-1} \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} \frac{|t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)}}{c_2 \ln t} d\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{B(x_0,\varepsilon,\delta,\theta)} C_1 |th|^{p(x)} [\ln(e+|th|)]^{a(x)} dx \geqslant (\ln t)^{\epsilon_1} C_5 \int_{B(x_0,1,1,\theta)} \frac{|t|^{p(\varepsilon,\omega)}}{\ln t} d\omega \text{ as } t \to +\infty. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) that $\Psi(th) \to -\infty$. The proof of Lemma 2.8 is completed. □

3. Proofs of main results

In this section, we will prove the main results. We first make clear the definitions of weak and strong solutions to the problem (P) respectively.

Definition 3.1. (i) We call $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (P) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} uv dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u) v dx, \forall v \in X.$$

(ii) We call u is a strong solution of (P) if $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and it is a weak solution of (P).

The corresponding functional of (P) is

$$\varphi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u) dx, \forall u \in X.$$

Definition 3.2. We say φ satisfies the Cerami condition in X, if any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $\|\varphi'(u_n)\|(1+\|u_n\|) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ has a strong convergent subsequence in X.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the conditions (V), (p), (H_0)-(H_1) hold, then φ satisfies the Cerami condition in X.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset X$ be a Cerami sequence, i.e., $\varphi(u_n) \to c$ and $\|\varphi'(u_n)\|(1+\|u_n\|) \to 0$. Suppose $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, then $\{u_n\}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence in X. Without loss of generality, we assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X, according to Proposition 2.5-*ii*), then $f(x,u_n) \to f(x,u)$ in X^*. Since $\varphi'(u_n) = L(u_n) - f(x,u_n) \to 0$ in X^*, we have $L(u_n) \to f(x,u)$ in X^*. Since L is a homeomorphism, we have $u_n \to u$ in X, and therefore φ satisfies Cerami condition. Hence, we only need to prove the boundedness of the Cerami sequence $\{u_n\}$.

We suppose, on the contrary, that there exist $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{u_n\} \subset X$ satisfying:

$$\varphi(u_n) \to c,\ \|\varphi'(u_n)\|(1+\|u_n\|) \to 0,\ \|u_n\| \to +\infty.$$

Obviously, there holds

$$\left|\frac{1}{p(x)}u_n\right|_{p(\cdot)} \leqslant \frac{1}{p^-}|u_n|_{p(\cdot)}, \left|\nabla\frac{1}{p(x)}u_n\right|_{p(\cdot)} \leqslant \frac{1}{p^-}|\nabla u_n|_{p(\cdot)} + C|u_n|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Thus, $\left\|\frac{1}{p(x)}u_n\right\| \leqslant C\|u_n\|$. Therefore, $(\varphi'(u_n), \frac{1}{p(x)}u_n) \to 0$. We may now assume that

$$\begin{aligned} c+1 &\geqslant \varphi(u_n) - (\varphi'(u_n), \frac{1}{p(x)}u_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(x,u_n)dx \\ &\quad -\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p(x)}f(x,u_n)u_n dx \\ &\quad -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p^2(x)}u_n|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_n \nabla p dx\} \\ &\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{1}{p^2(x)}u_n|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_n\nabla p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\{\frac{1}{p(x)}f(x,u_n)u_n - F(x,u_n)\}dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\{\frac{f(x,u_n)u_n}{p(x)} - F(x,u_n)\}dx &\leqslant C_1(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n||\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-1}dx + 1) \\ &\leqslant \sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_1 \\ &\quad + C(\sigma)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p(x)}[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx, \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

where σ is a small enough positive constant.

Now, we claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx \leqslant c_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p(x)}[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx + c_2. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} \in X$, and $\left\|\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}\right\| \leqslant C_2 \|u_n\|$. Let $\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}$ be a test function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx \\
=\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u_n \nabla \frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + o(1) \\
=\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n|\,|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(e+|u_n|)[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^2}dx + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\frac{|u_n||\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{(e+|u_n|)[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_3\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx - C_4 \ &\leqslant \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx \\
&\leqslant \ C_5\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_6 \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

By (H_0), for any given positive constant M, there exist a positive constant c_M such that

$$|f(x,u)u| + |F(x,u)| \leqslant c_M\,|u|^{p(x)}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall\, |u| \leqslant M. \qquad (3.4)$$

From (3.1), (3.3), (3.4) and condition (H_1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|u_n|\geqslant M} f(x,u_n)\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx - \int_{|u_n|<M} c_M\,|u_n|^{p(x)}\,dx \\
&\overset{(H_1),(3.4)}{\leqslant} C_7\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\{\frac{f(x,u_n)u_n}{p(x)} - F(x,u_n)\}dx \\
&\overset{(3.1)}{\leqslant} C_7\{\sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_8 + C(\sigma)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx\} \\
&\overset{(3.3)}{\leqslant} \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{f(x,u_n)u_n - C_3V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx + C_9 \\
&\overset{(3.4)}{\leqslant} \frac{1}{2}\int_{|u_n|\geqslant M} f(x,u_n)\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{|u_n|<M} c_M\,|u_n|^{p(x)}\,dx \\
&-\frac{C_3}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_n|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx + C_9.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|u_n|\geqslant M} f(x,u_n)\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_3\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx - 3c_M\int_{|u_n|<M}|u_n|^{p(x)}\,dx \\
\leqslant\ &2C_{10}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla p|\,|u_n|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx + 2C_9. \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

From condition (V), when $R_0 > 0$ is large enough, we have $V(x) \geqslant 3(M+1)c_M/C_3$ for any $|x| \geqslant R_0$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx - 3c_M \int_{|u_n|<M} |u_n|^{p(x)}\,dx \\
= \; & C_3 \int_{|x|\leqslant R_0} \frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx + C_3 \int_{|x|>R_0} \frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx \\
& -3c_M \int_{|u_n|<M,|x|\leqslant R_0} |u_n|^{p(x)}\,dx - 3c_M \int_{|u_n|<M,|x|>R_0} |u_n|^{p(x)}\,dx \\
\geqslant \; & \int_{|u_n|<M,|x|>R_0} \frac{c_M\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx - c_2 \\
\overset{(3.4)}{\geqslant} \; & \int_{|u_n|<M} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx - c_3. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx \leqslant 2C_{10} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx + c_4.$$

Therefore, (3.2) is valid.

Claim 1. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx \to +\infty$.

We suppose the contrary. Up to a sequence, we can see $\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx\right\}$ is bounded.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy $\varepsilon < \min\{1, p^- - 1, \frac{1}{p^{*+}}, (p^* - \alpha)^-\}$. Since $\|\varphi'(u_n)\|\,\|u_n\| \to 0$ and $\|u_n\| \to +\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}) dx \\
= \; & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)u_n dx + o(1) \\
\leqslant \; & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x,u_n)u_n|^{\varepsilon} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{1-\varepsilon} \left[\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}\right]^{1-\varepsilon} dx + o(1) \\
\leqslant \; & c_5(1+\|u_n\|)^{1+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|^{\varepsilon}\,(1+|u_n|^{\varepsilon^2})}{(1+\|u_n\|)^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}\right]^{1-\varepsilon} dx + o(1) \\
= \; & c_5(1+\|u_n\|)^{1+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|^{\varepsilon} + [|f(x,u_n)|\,|u_n|^{1+\varepsilon}]^{\varepsilon}}{(1+\|u_n\|)^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}\right]^{1-\varepsilon} dx + o(1) \\
\leqslant \; & c_5(1+\|u_n\|)^{1+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\left(\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{(1+\|u_n\|)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}}\right)^{\varepsilon} + \left(\frac{|f(x,u_n)|\,|u_n|^{1+\varepsilon}}{(1+\|u_n\|)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}}}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right] \left[\frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}\right]^{1-\varepsilon} dx + o(1) \\
\leqslant \; & c_6(1+\|u_n\|)^{1+\varepsilon} + c_7.
\end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Therefore, *Claim 1* is valid.

From *Claim 1* and (3.2), we can see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx \to +\infty \text{ as } n \to +\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

From hypothesis (H_1) and (3.2), we can see

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|u_n|\geqslant M} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{a(x)-1} dx &\leqslant C_{11} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)} dx \\ &\leqslant C_{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx + C_{12}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Note that $a >> p$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, then there is a positive constant $M^{\#} > M$ (where M is defined in (H_1)) such that

$$\left[\ln(e+|t|)\right]^{a(x)-p(x)} \geqslant 4C_{12}, \ \forall \ |t| \geqslant M^{\#}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Claim 2. $\overline{\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}} \int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx < \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx.$

We argue by contradiction. Up to a sequence, we can see

$$\int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx \geqslant \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.9), we can see

$$\int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx \to +\infty \text{ as } n \to +\infty. \tag{3.10}$$

According to the defifnition of $M^{\#}$ and (3.8), we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & 4C_{12} \int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx \\ \leqslant & \int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{a(x)-1} dx \\ \leqslant & \int_{|u_n|\geqslant M} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{a(x)-1} dx \\ \leqslant & C_{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx + C_{12} \\ \overset{(3.9)}{\leqslant} & 3C_{12} \int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx + C_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\int_{|u_n|\geqslant M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx \leqslant C_{12}$, which contradicts (3.10). Therefore, *Claim 2* is valid.

From *Claim 2*, for large enough n, we have

$$\int_{|u_n|<M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)} \left[\ln(e+|u_n|)\right]^{p(x)-1} dx. \tag{3.11}$$

Notice that $V(x) \to +\infty$ as $|x| \to +\infty$.

From (3.3), (3.2) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx \\
&\overset{(3.3)}{\leqslant} c_8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)\frac{u_n}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_4 \\
\leqslant\ & c_8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_4 \\
&\overset{(3.2)}{\leqslant} c_9 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx + C_{12} \\
&\overset{(3.11)}{\leqslant} 2c_9 \int_{|u_n|<M^{\#}} |u_n|^{p(x)}\,[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)-1}dx + C_{12} \\
\leqslant\ & 2c_9 \int_{|u_n|<M^{\#},|x|\geqslant R_0} \frac{|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)}dx \\
&+2c_9 \int_{|u_n|<M^{\#},|x|<R_0} \frac{|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}[\ln(e+|u_n|)]^{p(x)}dx + C_{13} \\
\leqslant\ & \frac{1}{2}\int_{|u_n|\leqslant M^{\#},|x|\geqslant R_0} \frac{V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_{14} \\
\leqslant\ & \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx + C_{14},
\end{aligned}$$

where $R_0 > 0$ be large enough such that

$$\inf_{|x|\geqslant R_0} V(x) \geqslant 4M^{\#p^+}c_9.$$

Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}+V(x)|u_n|^{p(x)}}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx$ is bounded, and then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x,u_n)u_n|}{\ln(e+|u_n|)}dx$ is bounded. It is a contradiction.

Summarizing the above discussion, we obtain that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. Therefore, the proof of Lemma 3.3 is completed. □

Next we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We only prove the existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solution u_1. The rest is similar. We divide the proof into three steps as follows.

Step 1. We show the existence of a nontrivial nonnegative weak solution u_1.

Denote

$$f^+(x,u) = \begin{cases} f(x,u), & u \geqslant 0 \\ 0, & u < 0 \end{cases},\ F^+(x,u) = \int_0^u f^+(x,t)dt.$$

Consider the auxiliary problem

$$(\mathrm{P}^+)\ \begin{cases} -\triangle_{p(x)} u + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)-2}\,u = f^+(x,u),\ \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in X. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding functional of (P^+) is

$$\varphi^+(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F^+(x,u)dx, \forall u \in X.$$

Obviously, φ^+ is C^1 in X.

Let's show that φ^+ satisfies conditions of the well-known Mountain Pass Lemma. Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, we can see that φ^+ satisfy Cerami condition. Since $p(x) < \alpha(x) << p^*(x)$, the embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is compact, then there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} \leqslant C_0 \|u\|\ ,\ \forall u \in X.$$

By the assumptions (H_0) and (H_2), we have

$$F^+(x,t) \leqslant \sigma \frac{1}{p(x)}\,|t|^{p(x)} + C(\sigma)\,|t|^{\alpha(x)}\ ,\ \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{4}V_0)$, where V_0 is defined in (V). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)})dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)}\,|u|^{p(x)}\,dx \\ \geqslant\ & \frac{3}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)})dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $p(x) << \alpha(x) << p^*(x)$, we can divide the domain $\mathbb{R}^N$ into a sequence of disjoint small cubes Ω_i $(i = 1, \cdots, \infty)$ each one has the same side length such that $\mathbb{R}^N = \overset{\infty}{\underset{i=1}{\cup}} \overline{\Omega_i}$ and

$$\sup_{\Omega_i} p(x) < \inf_{\Omega_i} \alpha(x) \leqslant \sup_{\Omega_i} \alpha(x) < \inf_{\Omega_i} p^*(x).$$

We introduce a number ϵ as follows:

$$\epsilon := \inf_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \infty} \{\inf_{\Omega_i} \alpha(x) - \sup_{\Omega_i} p(x)\}.$$

According to assumptions (p) and (H_0), one easily see that $\epsilon > 0$ as long as the side length of Ω_i is made sufficiently small.

Denote $\|u\|_{\Omega_i}$ the Sobolev norm of u on Ω_i, i.e.,

$$\|u\|_{\Omega_i} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \left| \int_{\Omega_i} \frac{1}{p(x)} \left(\left| \frac{\nabla u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} + V(x) \left| \frac{u}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \right) dx \leqslant 1 \right. \right\}$$

and $|u|_{\alpha(\cdot),\Omega_i}$ the Lebesgue norm of u on Ω_i, i.e.,

$$|u|_{\alpha(\cdot),\Omega_i} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 \left| \int_{\Omega_i} \left|\frac{u}{\lambda}\right|^{\alpha(x)} dx \leqslant 1\right.\right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\|u\|_{\Omega_i} \leqslant \|u\|$, and there exist $\xi_i, \eta_i \in \overline{\Omega_i}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |u|^{\alpha(\xi_i)}_{\alpha(\cdot),\Omega_i} &= \int_{\Omega_i} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx, \\ \|u\|^{p(\eta_i)}_{\Omega_i} &= \int_{\Omega_i} (\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

When $\|u\|$ is small enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(\sigma)\int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx &= C(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{\Omega_i} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx \\ &= C(\sigma)\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u|^{\alpha(\xi_i)}_{\alpha(\cdot),\Omega_i} \ (\text{where } \xi_i \in \overline{\Omega_i}) \\ &\leqslant C\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|u\|^{\alpha(\xi_i)}_{\Omega_i} \ (\text{by Corollary 8.3.2 of [6]}) \\ &\leqslant C\|u\|^{\epsilon}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|u\|^{p(\eta_i)}_{\Omega_i} \ (\text{where } \eta_i \in \overline{\Omega_i}) \\ &= C\|u\|^{\epsilon}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\int_{\Omega_i} (\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)}) dx \\ &= C\|u\|^{\epsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)}) dx \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^+(u) &\geqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)}) dx - \sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx - C(\sigma)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)}) dx \text{ when } \|u\| \text{ is small enough.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exist $r > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\varphi(u) \geqslant \delta > 0$ for every $u \in X$ and $\|u\| = r$.

From (H_1), we have

$$F^+(x,t) \geqslant C_1 |t|^{p(x)} [\ln(1 + |t|)]^{a(x)} - c_2, \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

We may assume there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $\nabla p(x_0) \neq 0$.

Define $h \in C_0(\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)})$ as

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x-x_0| \geqslant \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon - |x-x_0|, & |x-x_0| < \varepsilon \end{cases}.$$

From Lemma 2.8, we may let $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough such that

$$\varphi^+(th) \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to +\infty.$$

Since $\varphi^+(0) = 0$, φ^+ satisfies the conditions of Mountain Pass lemma. So φ^+ admits at least one nontrivial critical point, which implies the problem (P^+) has a nontrivial weak solution u_1. It is easy to see that u_1 is nonnegative. Therefore, u_1 is a nontrivial nonnegative weak solution of (P).

Step 2. We obtain the asymptotic behavior of u_1.

According to the Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 of [9], u_1 is locally bounded. From Theorem 1.2 of [8], u_1 is locally $C^{1,\alpha}$ continuous. Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.5 of [14], we obtain that u_1 is $C^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and satisfies $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} u_1(x) = 0$ and $\lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} |\nabla u_1(x)| = 0$.

Step 3. We show that u_1 is positive.

Noticing that f satisfies (H_0) and u_1 is nonnegative. We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\triangle_{p(x)} u_1 + V(x)\,|u_1|^{p(x)-2}\,u_1 + C(|u_1|^{p(x)-2}\,u_1 + |u_1|^{\alpha(x)-2}\,u_1) \\ = \;& C(|u_1|^{p(x)-2}\,u_1 + |u_1|^{\alpha(x)-2}\,u_1) + f(x,u_1) \geqslant 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, u_1 is a nontrivial nonnegative weak supersolution of the following equation

$$-\triangle_{p(x)} u_1 + V(x)\,|u_1|^{p(x)-2}\,u_1 + C(|u_1|^{p(x)-2}\,u_1 + |u_1|^{\alpha(x)-2}\,u_1) = 0.$$

According to the Theorem 1.1 of [38], we can see that $u_1 > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. By now, we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Now, we proceed to prove Theorem 1.2. For this purpose, we shall first make some functional-analytic preparations. Note that X is a reflexive and separable Banach space. Therefore, there are $\{e_j\} \subset X$ and $\{e_j^*\} \subset X^*$ (see [41], Section 17, Theorem 2-3) such that

$$X = \overline{span}\{e_j,\, j = 1,2,\cdots\}, \quad X^* = \overline{span}^{W^*}\{e_j^*,\, j = 1,2,\cdots\},$$

and

$$< e_j^*, e_j >= \begin{cases} 1, i = j, \\ 0, i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

For convenience, we write $X_j = span\{e_j\}$, $Y_k = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k} X_j$, and $Z_k = \overline{\bigoplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_j}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\alpha \in C_+\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$, $\alpha(x) << p^*(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, denote*

$$\beta_k = \sup\left\{|u|_{\alpha(\cdot)} \,|\, \|u\| = 1, u \in Z_k\right\}.$$

Then, $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\beta_k = 0$.

Proof. Obviously, $0 < \beta_{k+1} \leqslant \beta_k$, so $\beta_k \to \beta \geqslant 0$. Let $u_k \in Z_k$ satisfy

$$\|u_k\| = 1, 0 \leqslant \beta_k - |u_k|_{\alpha(\cdot)} < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_k\}$ (which we still denote by $\{u_k\}$) such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$, and

$$< e_j^*, u >= \lim_{k\to\infty}\left\langle e_j^*, u_k\right\rangle = 0, \forall e_j^*,$$

which implies that $u = 0$, and so $u_k \rightharpoonup 0$. Since the embedding from X to $L^{\alpha(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$ is compact, then $u_k \to 0$ in $L^{\alpha(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^N\right)$. Hence we get $\beta_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. The proof of Lemma 3.4 is completed. □

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, we recall the following critical point lemma (see e.g., [43, Theorem 4.7]. If the Cerami condition is replaced by the well known $(P.S.)$-condition, see [4, Page 221, Theorem 3.6] for the corresponding version of critical point theorem.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ is even, and satisfies the Cerami condition. Let V^+, $V^- \subset X$ be closed subspaces of X with $codim V^+ + 1 = dim\ V^-$, and suppose there holds*

(1^0) $\varphi(0) = 0$.

(2^0) $\exists \tau > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ such that $\forall u \in V^+ : \|u\| = \gamma \Rightarrow \varphi(u) \geqslant \tau$.

(3^0) $\exists \rho > 0$ such that $\forall u \in V^- : \|u\| \geqslant \rho \Rightarrow \varphi(u) \leqslant 0$.

Consider the following set:

$$\Gamma = \{g \in C^0(X, X) \mid g \text{ is odd, } g(u) = u \text{ if } u \in V^- \text{ and } \|u\| \geqslant \rho\},$$

then

(a) $\forall \delta > 0$, $g \in \Gamma$, $S_\delta^+ \cap g(V^-) \neq \varnothing$, here $S_\delta^+ = \{u \in V^+ \mid \|u\| = \delta\}$;

(b) the number $\varpi := \inf\limits_{g\in\Gamma}\sup\limits_{u\in V^-}\varphi(g(u)) \geqslant \tau > 0$ is a critical value for φ.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 We only need to prove the existence of infinitely many pairs of weak solutions. The proof of regularity and asymptotic behavior of solutions are similarly to that of Theorem 1.1.

According to (H_0), (H_1) and (H_3), φ is an even functional and satisfies Cerami condition. Let $V_k^+ = Z_k$, it is a closed linear subspace of X and $V_k^+ \oplus Y_{k-1} = X$.

We may assume that there exists $x_n \in \Omega$ such that $\nabla p(x_n) \neq 0$.

Define $h_n \in C_0(\overline{B(x_n, \varepsilon_n)})$ as

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & |x - x_n| \geqslant \varepsilon_n \\ \varepsilon_n - |x - x_n|, & |x - x_n| < \varepsilon_n \end{cases}.$$

From Lemma 2.8, we may let $\varepsilon_n > 0$ be small enough such that

$$\varphi(th_n) \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to +\infty.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$supp\, h_i \cap supp\, h_j = \varnothing,\ \forall i \neq j.$$

Set $V_k^- = span\{h_1, \cdots, h_k\}$. We will prove that there are infinite many pairs of V_k^+ and V_k^-, such that φ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.5 and the corresponding critical value $\varpi_k := \inf\limits_{g\in\Gamma} \sup\limits_{u\in V_k^-} \varphi(g(u)) \to +\infty$ when $k \to +\infty$, which implies that there are infinitely many pairs of solutions to the problem (P).

For any $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, we will prove that there exist $\rho_k > \gamma_k > 0$ and large enough k such that

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1)\ b_k &:= \inf\left\{\varphi(u) \mid u \in V_k^+, \|u\| = \gamma_k\right\} \to +\infty\ (k \to +\infty); \\ (A_2)\ a_k &:= \max\left\{\varphi(u) \mid\ u \in V_k^-, \|u\| = \rho_k\right\} \leqslant 0. \end{aligned}$$

First, we show (A_1) holds. Let $\sigma \in (0, V_0)$ be small enough, where V_0 is defined in (V). By (H_0) and (H_2), there is a $C(\sigma) > 0$ such that

$$F(x, u) \leqslant \sigma |u|^{p(x)} + C(\sigma) |u|^{\alpha(x)}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By computation, for any $u \in Z_k$ with $\|u\| = \gamma_k = (2C(\sigma)\alpha^+\beta_k^{\alpha^+})^{1/(p^- - \alpha^+)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{p(x)}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)})dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u)dx \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{p^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + V(x)\,|u|^{p(x)})dx - C(\sigma)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{\alpha(x)}\,dx - \sigma\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u|^{p(x)}\,dx \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - C(\sigma)\,|u|^{\alpha(\xi)}_{\alpha(\cdot)} \ \text{(where } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N) \\
&\geqslant \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - C(\sigma), \text{ if } |u|_{\alpha(\cdot)} \leqslant 1, \\ \frac{1}{2p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - C(\sigma)\beta_k^{\alpha^+}\|u\|^{\alpha^+}, \text{ if } |u|_{\alpha(\cdot)} > 1, \end{cases} \\
&\geqslant \frac{1}{2p^+}\|u\|^{p^-} - C(\sigma)\beta_k^{\alpha^+}\|u\|^{\alpha^+} - C(\sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{2p^+}(2C(\sigma)\alpha^+\beta_k^{\alpha^+})^{p^-/(p^- - \alpha^+)} - C(\sigma)\beta_k^{\alpha^+}(2C(\sigma)\alpha^+\beta_k^{\alpha^+})^{\alpha^+/(p^- - \alpha^+)} - C(\sigma) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^+})(2C(\sigma)\alpha^+\beta_k^{\alpha^+})^{p^-/(p^- - \alpha^+)} - C(\sigma) \to +\infty \ (\text{as } k \to \infty),
\end{aligned}$$

because $p^+ < \alpha^+$ and $\beta_k \to 0^+$ as $k \to \infty$. Therefore, $b_k \to +\infty$, (as $k \to \infty$).

Now, we show that (A_2) holds. From Lemma 2.8, it is easy to see that

$$\varphi(th) \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to +\infty, \forall h \in V_k^- = span\{h_1, \cdots, h_k\} \text{ with } \| h \| = 1,$$

which implies that (A_2) holds.

To sum up, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

References

[1] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione, Regularity results for a class of functionals with nonstandard growth, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.,* 156 (2001), 121–140.

[2] C.O. Alves, Shibo Liu, On superlinear $p(x)$-Laplacian equations in R^N, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 73 (2010), 2566-2579.

[3] J. Chabrowski, Y.Q. Fu, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian problems on a bounded domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 306 (2005) 604–618.

[4] K.C. Chang, *Critical point theory and applications*, Shanghai Scientific and Technology press, Shanghai, 1986.

[5] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **66** (2006), No.4, 1383–1406.

[6] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2011.

[7] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* ***263*** (2001), 424-446.

[8] X.L. Fan, Global $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for variable exponent elliptic equations in divergence form, *J. Differential Equations,* **235** (2007), 397-417.

[9] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, The quasi-minimizer of integral functionals with $m(x)$ growth conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 39 (2000), 807-816.

[10] X.L. Fan, On the sub-supersolution method for $p(x)$-Laplacian equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **330** (2007), 665–682.

[11] X.L. Fan, Q.H. Zhang, Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* **52** (2003), 1843-1852.
[12] X.L. Fan, Solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problems with singular coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **312** (2005), 464-477.
[13] X.L. Fan, J.S. Shen, D. Zhao, Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **262** (2001), 749–760.
[14] X.L. Fan, $p(x)$-Laplacian equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with periodic data and nonperiodic perturbations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341 (2008) 103-119.
[15] Y.Q. Fu, The principle of concentration compactness in $L^{p(x)}$ spaces and its application, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **71** (2009), No.5-6, 1876-1892.
[16] L. Gasiński, N.S. Papageorgiou, A pair of positive solutions for the Dirichlet $p(z)$-Laplacian with concave and convex nonlinearities, *J. Glob. Optim.* 56 (2013), 1347-1360.
[17] B. Ge, Q.M. Zhou, L. Zu, Positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems of p-Laplacian type on $\mathbb{R}^N$ without (AR) condition, *Nonlinear Anal Real World Appl*, 21 (2015) 99-109.
[18] A. El Hamidi, Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **300** (2004), 30-42.
[19] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **41** (1991), 592-618.
[20] N. Lam, G.Z. Lu, Elliptic Equations and Systems with Subcritical and Critical Exponential Growth Without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz Condition, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 24(2014), 118-143.
[21] G.B. Li, C.Y. Yang, The existence of a nontrivial solution to a nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem of p-Laplacian type without the Ambrosetti Rabinowitz condition, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 72 (2010), 4602-4613.
[22] S.B. Liu, On superlinear problems without the Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz condition, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 73 (2010), 788-795.
[23] M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), No. 9, 2929-2937.
[24] O.H. Miyagaki, M.A.S. Souto, Superlinear problems without Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz growth condition, *J. Differential Equations* , 245 (2008), 3628-3638.
[25] P. Pucci, Q.H. Zhang, Existence of entire solutions for a class of variable exponent elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations*, 257 (2014) 1529-1566.
[26] M. Růžička, *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, Lecture Notes in Math 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
[27] V. Radulescu, D. Repovs, *Partial Differential Equations with Variable Exponents: Variational Methods and Qualitative Analysis*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, 2015.
[28] J.J. Sakurai, *Morden Quantum Mechanics*, Revised Version, Addison Wesley, 1993.
[29] S.G. Samko, Densness of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in the generalized Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk,* **369** (1999), No.4, 451-454.
[30] X. Wang, J. Yao, D. Liu, High energy solutions to $p(x)$-Laplace equations of Schrödinger type, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 136 (2015) 1-17.
[31] X. Wang, J. Yao, Compact embeddings between variable exponent spaces with unbounded underlying domain, Nonlinear Analysis: TMA, 70 (2009), 3472-3482.
[32] M. Willem, W. Zou, On a Schröinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* 52 (2003), 109-132.
[33] J. Yao, X. Wang, On an open problem involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian, Nonlinear Analysis: TMA, 69 (2008), 1445-1453.
[34] J. Yao, Solutions for Neumann boundary value problems involving $p(x)$-Laplace operators, Nonlinear Analysis: TMA, 68 (2008), 1271-1283.
[35] A.B. Zang, $p(x)$-Laplacian equations satisfying Cerami condition, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 337 (2008) 547-555.
[36] Q.H. Zhang, Existence of radial solutions for $p(x)$-Laplacian equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **315** (2006), No.2, 506-516.
[37] Q.H. Zhang, Existence and asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for variable exponent elliptic systems, *Nonlinear Analysis,* **70** (2009), No.1, 305-316.

[38] Q.H. Zhang, A strong maximum principle for differential equations with nonstandard $p(x)$-growth conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.,* **312** (2005), No.1, 24-32.
[39] Q.H. Zhang, X.P. Liu, Z.M. Qiu, On the boundary blow-up solutions of $p(x)$-Laplacian equations with singular coefficient, *Nonlinear Analysis,* **70** (2009), No.11, 4053-4070.
[40] Q.H. Zhang, C.S. Zhao, Existence of strong solutions of a $p(x)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem without the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 69 (2015), 1-12.
[41] J.F. Zhao, Structure Theory of Banach Spaces,Wuhan University Press,Wuhan, 1991 (in Chinese).
[42] V.V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR. Izv.* **29** (1987), 33-36.
[43] C.K. Zhong, X.L. Fan & W.Y. Chen, *Introduction to Nonlinear Functional Analysis,* Lanzhou University Press, Lanzhou, 1998.

College of Information and Management Science, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450002, China

E-mail address: mathsr@163.com (L. Yin)

† **Corresponding author**, Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, 47408, USA.

E-mail address: yaoj@indiana.edu (J. Yao)

Department of Mathematics and Information Science, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450002, China.

E-mail address: zhangqihu@yahoo.com (Q. Zhang)

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Georgia Southern University, Statesboro, GA 30460, USA.

E-mail address: czhao@GeorgiaSouthern.edu (C. Zhao)